

## *The Myth of the Robber Barons*

Reviewed by Steven Butner

Looking for entertainment in a history book? You've found it! Watch the political charades unfold as entrepreneurs face off against one another in the industries of steamboats, railroads, iron, steel, and oil. However, not all entrepreneurs were the same... and this is where the myth of the robber barons is exposed.

In *The Myth of the Robber Barons: A New Look at the Rise of Big Business in America* by Burton W. Folsom, Jr., Folsom differentiates entrepreneurs into two categories during the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries: political entrepreneurs (who lobby government for subsidies and monopoly rights) and market entrepreneurs (who innovate and reduce costs to provide the best good or service at the lowest price).

Before we continue, it's important to distinguish what is meant by the term robber baron. Robber Baron is a derogatory term describing a very wealthy person who amassed their fortune by presumably cheating or stealing from others. Originating in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, it was used to denounce many of the businessmen listed in Folsom's book because of the riches they amassed through free market capitalism. Instead of thanking the entrepreneurs for making highly valuable goods and services available to the common man through innovation and competition, they were condemned for their profits.

Folsom starts the book by analyzing the political and market entrepreneurs in the steamboat, and later, steamship industry. Cornelius Vanderbilt is the "market entrepreneur" squaring off against the "political entrepreneurs" in the likes of Robert Fulton, Edward Collins, and Samuel Cunard. By analyzing the two groups, the different effects they had on the market become apparent. Folsom writes:

*"In the steamship industry, political entrepreneurship often led to price-fixing, technological stagnation, and the bribing of competitors and politicians. The market entrepreneurs were the innovators and rate-cutters."*

Next, Folsom looks at the construction of the transcontinental railroads. After California was incorporated into the U.S., dreams of a transcontinental railroad flourished, which led Congress to hastily pass the Pacific Railroad Act. This created both the Union Pacific and the Central Pacific railroad companies. James J. Hill is the market entrepreneur featured who built the Great Northern Railroad without a penny of government aid in contrast to the Union Pacific, Central Pacific, Northern Pacific, and Santa Fe Railroads that existed solely because of government aid. In all the government chartered and subsidized railroads, inefficiency, shoddy production, waste, corruption, scandals, murder, theft, bankruptcy and more ensued.

***"In all the government chartered and subsidized railroads, inefficiency, shoddy production, waste, corruption, scandals, murder, theft, bankruptcy and more ensued."***

Institute for  
Principle Studies

•  
P.O. Box 278  
Modesto, CA 95353

•  
(209) 575-2005

•  
[www.PrincipleStudies.org](http://www.PrincipleStudies.org)

In addition, a number of laws were passed as a result of these effects creating the Sherman Anti-Trust Act, the Interstate Commerce Commission, and the Hepburn Act — all of which ended up hurting free market competition and added to the growth of government.

The remaining chapters look at the Scrantons and America's first iron rails, Charles Schwab and the steel industry, John D. Rockefeller and the oil industry, and finally, Andrew Mellon cutting taxes to raise revenue during the 1920's. Folsom concludes the book with a chapter on the battle over history and revisionist nuances that pervade high school and college textbooks.

The only caveat to the book is that John D. Rockefeller is given a glowing review when the family and the Rockefeller Foundation have a history of being a large proponent of big government.

A fitting way to end this review is by quoting Forrest McDonald in the foreword to the book:

*“Political promotion of economic development is inherently futile, for it invariably rewards incompetence; if incompetence is rewarded, incompetence will be the product; and when incompetence is the product, politicians will insist that increased planning and increased regulation is the appropriate remedy.”*

*Steven F. Butner, B.S. in Business Administration, is a Marketing and Development Associate at the Institute for Principle Studies (IPS), a California-based Christian research and educational institute dedicated to making the Biblical case for the proper role of government.*

***“Political promotion of economic development is inherently futile, for it invariably rewards incompetence; and when incompetence is the product, politicians will insist that increased planning and increased regulation is the appropriate remedy.”***

Institute for  
Principle Studies

•  
P.O. Box 278  
Modesto, CA 95353

•  
(209) 575-2005

•  
[www.PrincipleStudies.org](http://www.PrincipleStudies.org)